UNITED	STATES	DISTRI	CT C	OURT
EASTER	N DISTR	ICT OF	NEW	YORK

FILED
IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.N.

* MAR 1.7 201 *

BROOKLYN OFFICE

Barbara Tserpelis,

: Civil Action No.:

v.

ALW Sourcing, L.L.C.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

SUMMONS ISSUED

CV11-1327

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Barbara Tserpelis, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

FEUERSTEIN,

TOMLINSON, M.

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of the Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of the Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendants and their agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
 - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendants transact business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

- 4. The Plaintiff, Barbara Tserpelis ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Island Park, New York, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
 - 5. Defendant ALW Sourcing, L.L.C. ("ALW"), is a Maryland business entity with

an address of Montgomery Park Business Center, 1804 Washington Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21230, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

- 6. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by ALW and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
 - 7. ALW at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

- 8. A financial obligation (the "Debt") to a creditor (the "Creditor").
- 9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to ALW for collection, or ALW was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. ALW Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 12. Defendant began calling Plaintiff in January 2011.
- 13. Defendant failed to identify itself to Plaintiff at the beginning of the call and only did so after numerous requests from Plaintiff.
 - 14. Defendant failed to give Plaintiff more information on the Debt, despite Plaintiff's

requests.

- 15. In February 2011 Plaintiff received a written correspondence from Defendants.
- 16. Plaintiff called Defendants and informed that she had paid the Debt off.
- 17. Nevertheless, Defendant continued to demand payment and requested that Plaintiff provide personal information, such as: where Plaintiff worked and how much she earned in wages.
- 18. Plaintiff explained that she had recently undergone a double mastectomy and asked that Defendant cease calls.
- 19. Defendant replied, "I don't really care what you have. If you had cancer of the nose and had to have it taken off I don't really care!"
 - 20. Defendant threatened Plaintiff with a lawsuit if she did not pay the Debt.
 - 21. Defendants also stated that they obtained a judgment against Plaintiff.
- 22. Defendants failed to inform Plaintiff of her rights under the state and federal laws by written correspondence within 5 days after the initial communication, including the right to dispute the Debt.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 23. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 24. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA - 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.</u>

- 25. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 26. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6) in that Defendants placed calls to the Plaintiff without disclosing the identity of the debt collection agency.
- 27. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2) in that Defendants misrepresented the character, amount and legal status of the Debt.
- 28. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) in that Defendants threatened to take legal action, without actually intending to do so.
- 29. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) in that Defendants employed false and deceptive means to collect a debt.
- 30. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the amount of the Debt.
- 31. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(2) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the name of the original creditor to whom the Debt was owed.
- 32. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the Plaintiff's right to dispute the Debt within thirty days.
- 33. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice informing the Plaintiff of a right to have verification and judgment mailed to the Plaintiff.
- 34. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(5) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice containing the name and address of the original

creditor.

- 35. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
 - 36. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

COUNT II INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 37. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 38. The acts, practices and conduct engaged in by the Defendants vis-à-vis the Plaintiff was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.
- 39. The foregoing conduct constitutes the tort of intentional infliction of emotional distress under the laws of the State of New York.
- 40. All acts of the Defendants and the Collectors complained of herein were committed with malice, intent, wantonness, and recklessness, and as such, the Defendants are subject to imposition of punitive damages.

COUNT III VIOLATIONS OF NEW YORK GBL § 349 ENGAGING IN UNLAWFUL DECEPTIVE PRACTICES AND ACTS

- 41. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 42. The acts, practices and conduct engaged in by the Defendants and complained of herein constitute "deceptive acts and practices" within the meaning of Article 22A of the General

Business Law of the State of New York, NY GBL § 349.

- 43. The Defendants willfully and knowingly engaged in conduct constituting deceptive acts and practices in violation of NY GBL§ 349.
- 44. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the foregoing acts and practices, including damages associated with, among other things, humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment caused by the Defendants.
- 45. By virtue of the foregoing, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover actual damages, trebled, together with reasonable attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be awarded in the Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendants as follows:

- 1. Against the named the Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- 2. Against each of the named the Defendants, awarding the Plaintiff statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- 3. Against the named the Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff actual damages, trebled, pursuant to NY GBL § 349;
- 4. Against the named the Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff recovery of the costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3);
- 5. Against the named the Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff punitive damages in such amount as is found appropriate; and

6. Granting the Plaintiff such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: March 8, 2011

Respectfully submitted

By

Sergei Lemberg (SL 0331)

LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C. 100 Summer Street, 3rd Floor

Stamford, CT 06905

Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (877) 795-3666 Attorneys for Plaintiff